TOOTH RESORPTION IN CATS
Pathophysiology and treatment options
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Epidemiology – what do we know?

Resorption of teeth is common in domestic cats and frequently presents clinically as a hard tissue defect at the cemento-enamel junction of the tooth. Prevalence rates ranging from 28.5–67.0% have been reported in the cat and the incidence increases with increasing age.2,7–11 Certain breed susceptibilities have also been suggested in some studies, but differences in the mean age among different breed groups examined make meaningful comparisons difficult.

The wide variation in prevalence rates can be explained by the different populations of cats studied (random vs dental vs mixed) and by the different methods used to diagnose tooth resorption (clinical vs radiographic). The incidence has generally been higher in studies where the cats examined were presented for dental examination or treatment, as compared with studies looking at a random or mixed (ie, presented for dental or other problems) populations of cats. However, in an Australian study looking at a mixed population, 52% of cats were affected, with a mean of 3.2 lesions per affected cat.7

All types of teeth in the feline dentition may be affected by tooth resorption, but lesions seem to be more frequent in certain teeth.11 The common manifestation of a defect at the cemento-enamel junction can often be diagnosed clinically by visual and tactile examination. Studies that have included radiography have demonstrated that resorption can occur anywhere on the root surfaces (ie, not only at the cemento-enamel junction).11–13 Clearly, clinical methods will only detect lesions that involve the crown, while radiography will also detect lesions confined to the root. Thus, the reported prevalence of tooth resorption in studies that include radiography is higher.

Practical relevance: Tooth resorption is common in the domestic cat and the incidence has been reported to increase with increasing age. Cats with clinically missing teeth have also been found to be more likely to have tooth resorption. All types of teeth in the feline dentition may be affected, but lesions seem to be more common in certain teeth.

Clinical challenges: Tooth resorption can be difficult to detect, with cats often masking signs of oral discomfort or pain. Routine radiography is required for timely diagnosis, as clinical (visual and tactile) methods only detect late-stage lesions – that is, when they become evident in the crown. The aetiology of many tooth resorptions is not clear. A large number of lesions appear to be idiopathic and, to date, there is no known treatment that prevents the development and/or progression of this category of tooth resorption. Tooth extraction is the gold standard treatment but teeth with resorptive lesions are notoriously difficult to extract and coronal amputation is often indicated.

Determining the best treatment option in an individual case again relies on radiography.

Audience: This review is aimed at feline and general practitioners, as well as veterinarians with expertise in dentistry.

Evidence base: The author draws on the published literature and her clinical experience and own research to review current thinking about the epidemiology, aetiology and pathogenesis of tooth resorptions, and to offer advice on diagnosis and treatment options.
An investigation of the incidence of tooth resorption in a clinically healthy population of 228 cats (mean age 4.92 years), using a combination of clinical examination and radiography, provided some interesting insights:

**Findings in a clinically healthy population of 228 cats**
- Overall prevalence of tooth resorption was 29%
- Most commonly affected teeth were the mandibular third premolars (307, 407)
- Pattern of development of tooth resorption was symmetrical in most cats
- Risk of having tooth resorption increased with increasing age
- Cats with clinically missing teeth were more likely to have tooth resorption
- Neutering, sex, age at neutering and mean whole mouth gingivitis index did not affect the prevalence of tooth resorption

**Clinical manifestation**

Tooth resorptions occur as a result of an external root resorption process (see box on page 39), wherein the hard tissues of the root surfaces are destroyed by the activity of multinucleated cells called odontoclasts. The destroyed root surface is replaced by cementum-like or bone-like tissue.

The process starts in cementum and progresses to involve the dentine, where it spreads along the dentine tubules and eventually comes to involve the dentine of the crown as well as the root. The peripulpal dentine is relatively resistant to resorption and the pulp only becomes involved late in the disease. The process extends through the crown dentine, eventually reaching the enamel. The enamel is either resorbed or it fractures off and a defect becomes clinically evident (Figures 1 and 2a).
In the absence of routine radiography, the lesions are first noted clinically when they become evident in the crown, often as defects at the cemento-enamel junction. Figure 2b shows the radiographic appearance of the clinical lesion seen in Figure 2a, and amply illustrates how this first clinical manifestation represents a late-stage lesion. In many cases, progressive dentine destruction with tooth resorption weakens and undermines the crown to such an extent that minor trauma (eg, during chewing) causes the crown to fracture off, leaving the root in the alveolar bone. The resorbing root remnants are usually covered by intact gingiva (Figure 3); however, in some cases the overlying gingiva may be inflamed (Figure 4).

**Resorptive processes**

Hard tissues (bone, cementum, dentine) are normally protected from resorption by their surface layer of cells.\(^{12,15}\) Root resorption may be classified as internal or external.

**Internal root resorption**
Internal root resorption occurs when the integrity of the odontoblast layer (the outermost layer of pulp tissue) is broached. It thus starts on the pulp surface and extends towards the external aspect of the tooth. Internal root resorption is usually a consequence of pulpal inflammation.

**External root resorption**
External root resorption may follow any damage to the protective periodontal ligament and cementoblast layer. It thus starts on the external root surface and progresses within the tooth. External root resorption is further classified as surface, replacement or inflammatory.\(^{14}\)

- **Surface resorption** is self-limiting and reversible. It is thought that minor trauma caused by unintentional biting on hard objects, bruxism, and so on, can give rise to localized damage to the periodontal ligament and cementoblast layer and trigger this type of resorption. The denuded root surface attracts clastic cells, which will resorb the cementum for as long as osteoclast-activating factors are released at the site of injury – usually a few days. When the resorption stops, cells from the periodontal ligament will proliferate and populate the resorbed area, resulting in deposition of reparative dental tissue.\(^{15,16}\) The majority of human teeth show signs of active or healed surface resorptions.

- **Replacement resorption** results in replacement of the dental hard tissue by bone. The aetiology of replacement resorption appears to be related to the absence of a vital periodontal ligament cover on the root surface.\(^{17}\) It is assumed that the damaged periodontal ligament is repopulated with progenitor cells from adjacent bone marrow. These cells establish themselves on the resorbed root surface and bone will thus be formed directly upon the dental hard tissues.\(^{18}\) This results in fusion between bone and tooth (ie, ankylosis). Replacement resorption can, therefore, be considered a form of healing: the bone has accepted the dental hard tissue as part of itself and the tooth becomes involved in normal skeletal turnover; ie, during subsequent remodelling of bone, both dental hard tissue and bone will be resorbed.\(^{19}\)

- **Inflammatory resorption** is a consequence of inflammation in adjacent tissues. There are two main forms, namely peripheral inflammatory root resorption (PIRR) and external inflammatory root resorption (EIRR).

  - In PIRR, the osteoclast-activating factors that keep the resorptive process going are provided by an inflammatory lesion in the adjacent periodontal tissues.\(^{17,20,21}\) PIRR occurs immediately apical to the marginal tissues and is thus often located cervically (hence it has also been termed cervical root resorption).

  - EIRR, by contrast, receives its stimulus for continued resorption from an infected necrotic pulp.\(^{17}\) This type of root resorption is a complication that can follow dental trauma. It begins as a surface resorption due to damage to the periodontal ligament and cementoblast layer in conjunction with the traumatic injury. However, the pulp is also damaged by the trauma and becomes necrotic.

**Tooth resorptions in cats**
The tooth resorptions seen so commonly in cats are external and fall into either the PIRR or replacement resorption category. In other words, they are either inflammatory or non-inflammatory (replacement) in origin. In the literature, with the exception of one study,\(^{22}\) a distinction is not made between tooth resorptions of inflammatory origin and those that are truly idiopathic. This makes it difficult to draw meaningful conclusions.
Aetiology and pathogenesis

While the ongoing or established resorptive process has been detailed,23–25 the aetiology of many tooth resorptions is not clear. As discussed, it seems likely that at least two different types of resorption are currently diagnosed as the same condition. This is supported by the findings of a study investigating the radiographic appearance of 543 feline teeth with tooth resorption. Two types of roots were identified: type 1 roots had normal root radiodensity and an intact periodontal ligament space; type 2 roots were radiolucent and lacked a clear periodontal ligament space.

Radiographic findings in 543 feline teeth with tooth resorption22
- 268/543 (49.4%) teeth had type 1 roots (normal radiodensity, intact periodontal ligament space)
- 275/543 (50.6%) teeth had type 2 roots (radiolucent, lack of clear periodontal space)
- 72% of teeth with type 1 roots had periodontitis present
- 15.6% of teeth with type 2 roots had periodontitis present

Based on these findings it seems possible that type 1 resorption is inflammatory and associated with periodontal disease while type 2 resorption is truly idiopathic.

It had been assumed that tooth resorption represented a PIRR type of lesion and was associated with periodontal disease.20,23,24,26 However, studies have shown that the histological lesion is a non-inflammatory replacement resorption, resulting in ankylosis,27 and that there is no association between gingivitis index and the prevalence of tooth resorption.11 These findings indicate that while a PIRR type of lesion does exist, it is not the only type of root resorption. In fact, a non-inflammatory replacement resorption is equally common. The cause of the PIRR type lesion is inflammation of adjacent tissue (ie, periodontitis), while the cause of the non-inflammatory replacement resorption is as yet unknown (ie, truly idiopathic).

Some of the tooth resorptions seen in cats are associated with inflammation of adjacent tissue, usually periodontitis, but a large number are idiopathic.

Proposed aetiopathogenic models for idiopathic root resorption27
- The first model assumes that all cats suffer from surface root cementum resorption but that cats that develop tooth resorption fail to heal such lesions. The failure to heal could be a result of an inherent cementum defect. It could also be age related; that is, as a cat ages the healing process becomes slower than the resorptive process. As the balance between the two processes changes, the surface resorption reaches the dentine. Once this occurs, tooth resorption and ankylosis become inevitable.
- An alternative explanation is that cats that develop tooth resorption may suffer from an inherent cementum flaw and deficiencies in the protective properties of the periodontal ligament and cementoblast layer. This hypothesis is indirectly supported by the observed anatomical differences in the periodontal ligament of teeth with root resorption as compared with unaffected teeth. These anatomical differences also give rise to a suspicion that teeth that develop resorption are subject to suboptimal mechanical forces or are non-functional, resulting in lack of the stimulus required to maintain the functional integrity of the periodontal ligament. It is known, for example, that hypofunction28 or reduced masticatory stimulation29 may result in tooth ankylosis.

Another line of research has investigated calcium homeostasis in cats with and without tooth resorption. One study reported a link between raised circulating levels of 25-hydroxyvitamin D and odontoclastic tooth resorption,20 and concluded that chronic excess intake of vitamin D may play an important pathogenic role. Later studies did not
identify raised circulating levels of 25-hydroxyvitamin D in cats with tooth resorption and concluded that increased serum vitamin D levels as a causative factor for root resorption could not be supported.31–33

In summary, some of the tooth resorptions seen in cats are associated with inflammation of adjacent tissue, usually periodontitis, but a large number of lesions are idiopathic.

**Diagnosis**

Tooth resorptions can be detected by a combination of:

- Visual inspection;
- Examination under anaesthesia with a sharp dental explorer;
- Intraoral dental radiography.

As discussed, visual inspection and tactile examination with a dental explorer will identify only end-stage lesions (ie, when the process is involving the crown and has resulted in an obvious defect; Figures 1 and 2a). Radiography, on the other hand, will additionally identify lesions that are localised to the root surfaces within the alveolar bone (Figure 5). Radiography should thus be considered an essential part of the diagnostic work-up. Moreover, it is only with the aid of radiography that the appearance and extent of a resorptive process can be identified (Figures 2b and 5), allowing the best treatment option to be selected.

A series of full mouth radiographs is recommended for all cats presented for dental therapy. If this is not possible (eg, due to financial restrictions) then one view of each mandibular premolar/molar region can be obtained in the first instance. The mandibular third premolars (307 and 407) are the most commonly affected teeth – if radiographs show resorption of these teeth, then a full mouth series must be taken. A study has shown that, in 9/10 cats with tooth resorption, the disease would be identified in these two views.34 This technique can, therefore, be used as a rapid screening test.

Dental radiography in discussed further in Part 1 of this Special Issue series on feline dentistry (November 2014, pages 887–899).35

**There is no known treatment that prevents development and/or progression of the idiopathic type of tooth resorption.**

**Treatment approaches**

The aim of any treatment is to relieve pain, prevent progression of pathology and restore function.

It remains a matter of debate as to whether tooth resorption causes discomfort or pain to the affected individual. Based on the fact that pulpal inflammation occurs late in the disease process, it seems likely that lesions that are limited to the root surfaces and do not communicate with the oral environment are asymptomatic. However, once dentine destruction has progressed to such an extent that the process invades the pulp and/or a communication with the oral cavity has been established (ie, the enamel has been resorbed or has fractured off to reveal the dentine to the oral cavity), then discomfort and/or pain are likely as the pulp becomes inflamed. Some cats show clinical signs indicating oral discomfort or pain (eg, change in food preference for a soft rather than hard diet and/or reduced food intake), though many cats do not.

To date, there is no known treatment that prevents development and/or progression of the idiopathic type of tooth resorption. It seems unlikely that such treatment can be developed without knowledge of the cause of the pathology. Currently, the suggested methods for managing tooth resorption are:

- Conservative management;
- Tooth extraction;
- Coronal amputation.

Restoration of the tooth surface has additionally been recommended by some for the treatment of accessible lesions that extend into the dentine and do not involve pulp tissue. Several studies, however, have shown that tooth resorption continues and the restorations are lost.23–25 Consequently, the use of restoration cannot be recommended as a major treatment technique for feline tooth resorption.

**Conservative management**

Conservative management consists of monitoring the lesions clinically and radiographically. This approach is recommended for lesions confined to the root that are not evident on clinical examination; that is, are only seen radiographically, without any evidence of discomfort or pain.

As most lesions are only diagnosed when pathology is extensive, conservative management is rarely indicated in the general practice situation. In most cases, extraction or coronal amputation of an affected tooth is indicated, and preoperative radiographs are mandatory to allow selection of the appropriate treatment option.

![Figure 5 The value of radiography for diagnosis and treatment selection is underlined by this image. The left mandibular canine (304) has a resorbing root, but the process has not yet extended into the crown dentine. In fact, there was no clinical evidence of tooth resorption in this cat. This canine could thus be treated conservatively. In contrast, in the right mandibular canine, the process has progressed to involve the crown and most of the root has been resorbed and replaced by bone-like tissue. Extraction is not possible and coronal amputation becomes the treatment of choice.](image)
In practice, extraction or coronal amputation of an affected tooth is usually indicated. Preoperative radiographs are mandatory to allow selection of the appropriate treatment option.

**Coronal amputation**

The technique of coronal amputation involves raising a gingival flap both buccally and palatally/lingually to expose the margin of the alveolar bone. The crown of the affected tooth is amputated using a round bur. A small amount of root tissue is also removed with the bur – just enough to ensure that the intentionally retained root(s) are apical to the alveolar crest. The gingival flap is then replaced and sutured in place.

**Extraction**

With extraction, the whole tooth is removed. This is the gold standard, and every effort should be made to entirely extract roots affected by type 1 resorption. Teeth with tooth resorption are, however, notoriously difficult to extract. In addition to preoperative radiographs to detect the lesions and determine appropriate treatment, postoperative radiographs are required to ensure that the whole tooth has been removed.

**Coronal amputation**

When the root has been extensively resorbed (Figure 5) it is often not possible to extract all tooth substance. Coronal amputation is then the treatment of choice. The indications for and outcome of coronal amputation have been well documented, and the technique is briefly described in the box on the left. Radiographic monitoring is required at regular intervals postoperatively to ensure that the root is resorbing and that healing is uneventful.

It is important to note that coronal amputation is not indicated for type 1 tooth resorption or for teeth affected by endodontic pathology. Moreover, it cannot be recommended for cats that test positive for feline leukaemia virus or feline immunodeficiency virus.

**KEY POINTS**

- Tooth resorption is common in the domestic cat.
- The resorptive process starts on the root surfaces and extends to involve the crown.
- In the absence of routine radiography, the lesions are first noted clinically when they become obvious in the crown, often as defects at the cemento-enamel junction. This is a late-stage lesion.
- Diagnosis and choice of appropriate treatment requires radiography.
- The goal of treatment is relief of discomfort or pain.
- In most instances, extraction of the affected tooth or coronal amputation remain the preferred treatment options.
- Successful extraction and uncomplicated healing requires clinical and radiographic monitoring.
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